arXiv:1701.01502v1 [math.AP] 5 Jan 2017

Nonuniqueness of nematic liquid crystal flows in dimension three

Huajun Gong[*] Tao Huang[†] and Jinkai Li[‡]

Abstract

For suitable initial and boundary data, we construct infinitely many weak solutions to the nematic liquid crystal flows in dimension three. These solutions are in the axisymmetric class with bounded energy and "backward bubbling" at a large time.

1 Introduction

For any smooth domain $\Omega \subset R^3$, we consider the following simplified model of nematic liquid crystal flows

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u\cdot\nabla u - \mu\Delta u + \nabla P = -\lambda\nabla\cdot\big(\nabla d\odot\nabla d - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla d|^2\mathbb{I}_3\big), \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0, \\ d_t + u\cdot\nabla d = \gamma\big(\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d\big), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u(\mathbf{x},t):\Omega\times(0,T)\to\mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity field of the underlying incompressible fluid, $d(\mathbf{x},t):\Omega\times(0,T)\to\mathbb{S}^2:=\big\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3:|\mathbf{x}|=1\big\}$ represents the (averaged) orientation field of nematic liquid crystal molecules, $P(\mathbf{x},t):\Omega\times(0,T)\to\mathbb{R}$ is the pressure function, $\mathbf{x}=(x,y,z)\in\Omega$, $\nabla\cdot$ denotes the divergence operator on $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\nabla d\odot\nabla d=\big(\langle\frac{\partial d}{\partial\mathbf{x}_i},\frac{\partial d}{\partial\mathbf{x}_j}\rangle\big)_{1\le i,j\le 3}\in\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ represents the stress tensor induced by the orientation field d, and $\mathbb{I}_3=\big(\delta_{ij}\big)_{1\le i,j\le 3}\in\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ is the identity matrix of order 3. The parameters μ, λ and γ are positive constants representing the fluid viscosity, the competition between kinetic energy and potential energy, and the macroscopic elastic relaxation time for the molecular orientation field respectively. For simplicity, we only consider

$$\mu=\lambda=\gamma=1.$$

The system (1.1) has first been proposed by Lin [29] as a simplified version of the general Ericksen-Leslie system modeling the hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystal materials proposed by Ericksen [9] and Leslie [23] between 1958 and 1968. The system (1.1) is a macroscopic continuum description of the time evolution of the liquid crystal material under the influence of both the

[*]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China. huajun84@hotmail.com

[†]NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai, 3663 Zhongshan Road North, Shanghai, 200062, China. th79@nyu.edu

[‡]Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong. jklimath@gmail.com

fluid field and the macroscopic description of the microscopic orientation configurations of rod-like liquid crystals molecules. The interested readers can refer to [9], [23], [29], and Lin-Liu [31] for more details. In this paper, we will investigate the system (1.1) with initial data

$$(u, d)\big|_{t=0} = (u_0, d_0)$$

and boundary data that will be specific later, where $(u_0(\mathbf{x}), d_0(\mathbf{x})) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfies div $u_0 = 0$ and $|d_0| = 1$.

Mathematically, the system (1.1) is a strong coupling between the incompressible Naiver-Stokes equation for the flow field and the (transport) heat flow of harmonic maps for the orientation field of the liquid crystal molecules, which has attracted a lot of interests and generated many interesting results recently. In dimension two, Lin-Lin-Wang [30] have proved the existence of global Leray-Hopf type weak solutions to initial and boundary value problem of (1.1) with finitely many possible singular times (see [15] for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$, [17, 43, 28] for more general systems, and [8, 24, 25, 45] for some other related works). Lin–Wang [33], Wang–Wang–Zhang [44], and Li–Titi–Xin [27] have also proved the uniqueness for such weak solutions. In dimension three, Lin-Wang [32] have proved the existence of global weak solutions under the assumption $d_0(x) \in \mathbb{S}^2_+$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ by developing some new compactness arguments. Here $\mathbb{S}^2_+$ is the upper hemisphere. Huang [20] has shown the weak solution is regular and unique in the scaling invariant Leray spaces. Recently, in [21], two nontrivial examples of finite time singularities in dimension three have been constructed. The first example is built with the help of axisymmetric solutions to (1.1) without swirl. In the second example, the initial data of the approximate harmonic maps was constructed with small energy but large topology. With help of the energy inequality, the local smooth solutions have been proved to have finite time singularities by an ϵ-apriori estimate on approximate harmonic maps. However, it still remains a very challenging open problem to establish the existence of global Leray-Hopf type weak solutions and partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to (1.1) in dimension three. It should be mentioned that for suitably regular initial data, local existence and uniqueness of more regular solutions than the weak ones can be established for more general systems than (1.1), see, e.g., [16, 13, 14, 11, 12, 26, 37]. More results and references can be found in the survey paper by Lin-Wang [34].

In order to investigate the nonuniqueness of system (1.1), it is helpful to mention a few of related results for the heat flow of harmonic maps. In dimension two, a unique global weak solutions with finitely many singularities has been constructed by Struwe [39] and Chang [3]. Freire [10] proved that the weak solution is uniqueness if the Dirichlet energy is monotone decreasing with respect to t (see also [42] and [36] for alternative proofs). The examples of non-unique weak solutions, whose Dirichlet energies are not monotone decreasing, have been constructed by Bertsch-Dal Passo-Hout [2] and [41]. In higher dimensions, the existence of global weak solutions with partial regularity has been established by Chen-Struwe [5] and Chen-Lin [4]. Examples of non-unique weak solutions have been constructed by Coron [7] and Bethuel-Coron-Ghidaglia-Soyeur [1]. Recently, Huang-Wang [22] have established several new results on uniqueness in higher dimensions. More references on the heat flow of harmonic maps can be found in the book by Lin-Wang [35].

Inspired by all the previous results, it is quit an interesting question to investigate the nonuniqueness of (nontrivial) weak solutions to the nematic liquid crystal flows (1.1). More precisely, we would like to construct more than one weak solution with special choice of initial and boundary values with axisymmetric structure.

Theorem 1.1 *Let B_1^2 be the unit disk in dimension two and $\Omega = B_1^2 \times [0,1]$ be the round cylinder. For the initial data $(u_0, d_0) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ with*

$$u_0(\mathbf{x}) = (x, y, -2z), \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$d_0(\mathbf{x}) = \Big(\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \sin\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big), \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \sin\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big), \cos\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big)\Big), \tag{1.3}$$

for some $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty[0,1]$ and $\varphi_0^{(2k)}(0) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the system (1.1) has more than one weak solution satisfying (1.1) in the sense of distribution and initial and boundary conditions (1.2)-(1.3) in the sense of trace.

We also want to mention that, in dimension two [8] have constructed a family of exact axisymmetric solutions to (1.1), and [18] and [19] have also considered other simplified models of liquid crystal flows with cylindrical symmetry and showed some finite time blowup and nonuniqueness results. Recently, [6] have also constructed solutions to system (1.1) in dimension three that are twisted and periodic along z-axis.

The idea of our proof is mainly inspired by [2] and [41]. The main difficulty for our case is that there is no global existence for liquid crystal flows (1.1) in dimension three with general initial and boundary conditions (except for those in [32] in our best knowledge). However, very fortunately, after the first blowup at t_1 in our construction, the value of the solution at singular point will jump from 0 to π, which will make the solution always topologically small after the singular time. Thus by the recent existence result in [21], we will have one global weak solution to (1.1) with single singularity (Theorem 3.2).

The solution will become no bigger than π at some time t_2 while the value of the solution at origin will be kept as π (Theorem 4.2). But to keep it as π at origin, some extra energy seems be held until t_2, which may be released at any time after t_2 if the value of the solution at origin drops to zero again. This will produce an possible upwards jump of the energy at any time after t_2. Therefore, we may have infinitely many weak solutions to the original system (1.1) (Theorem 5.1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will review a simplified form of the axisymmetric liquid crystal flows that has been first considered in [21]. In Section 3, we will construct the first global weak solution with finite time blowup and energy drop. In Section 4, by construction of a suitable supersolution, we will construct a crucial time t_2 in finding another solution. In Section 5, we will construct a upward energy jump beyond t_2 and prove our main results.

2 Axisymmetric solutions without swirls

To construct weak solutions, we first introduce the axisymmetric solutions to (1.1) without swirls, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} u(r,\theta,z,t) = u^r(r,z,t)\mathbf{e}^r + u^3(r,z,t)\mathbf{e}^3, \\ d(r,\theta,z,t) = \sin\varphi(r,z,t)\mathbf{e}^r + \cos\varphi(r,z,t)\mathbf{e}^3, \\ P(r,\theta,z,t) = P(r,z,t). \end{cases}$$

A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is axisymmetric if it is invariant under any rotation map. Then (u^r, u^3, φ, P) solves (cf. [21])

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\widetilde{D}u^r}{Dt} - \mu\widetilde{\Delta}u^r + \dfrac{u^r}{r^2} + P_r = -\big(\widetilde{\Delta}\varphi - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}\big)\varphi_r, \\ \dfrac{\widetilde{D}u^3}{Dt} - \widetilde{\Delta}u^3 + P_z = -\big(\widetilde{\Delta}\varphi - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}\big)\varphi_z, \\ \dfrac{1}{r}(ru^r)_r + (u^3)_z = 0, \\ \dfrac{\widetilde{D}\varphi}{Dt} - \widetilde{\Delta}\varphi = -\dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\frac{\widetilde{D}}{Dt} := \partial_t + u^r\partial_r + u^3\partial_z, \qquad \widetilde{\Delta} := \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r + \partial_z^2,$$

are the material derivatives and Laplace operator in cylindrical coordinates respectively. According to [21], to construct a special nontrivial solution of Navier-Stokes equations, we will only consider the round cylinder $\Omega = B_1^2 \times [0,1]$ and an axisymmetric solution (u, P, d) without swirl in the following form

$$\begin{cases} u(r,\theta,z,t) := v(r,t)\mathbf{e}^r + w(z,t)\mathbf{e}^3, \\ d(r,\theta,z,t) := \sin\varphi(r,t)\mathbf{e}^r + \cos\varphi(r,t)\mathbf{e}^3, \\ P(r,\theta,z,t) := Q(r,t) + R(z,t). \end{cases}$$

Then (2.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} v_t + vv_r - \big(v_{rr} + \dfrac{v_r}{r} - \dfrac{1}{r^2}v\big) + Q_r = \big(\varphi_{rr} + \dfrac{\varphi_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}\big)\varphi_r, \ r \in [0,1], \\ w_t + ww_z - w_{zz} + R_z = 0, \qquad z \in [0,1], \\ \dfrac{1}{r}(rv)_r + w_z = 0, \qquad (r,z) \in [0,1]\times[0,1], \\ \varphi_t + v\varphi_r = \varphi_{rr} + \dfrac{\varphi_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}, \qquad r \in [0,1]. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

If we only consider the special initial conditions of (u_0, d_0) given by (1.2) and (1.3), the initial condition of (v, w, φ) is given by

$$\begin{cases} v|_{t=0} = r, & 0 \le r \le 1, \\ w|_{t=0} = -2z, & 0 \le z \le 1, \\ \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0(r), & 0 \le r \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

for some $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty([0,1])$, with $\varphi_0(0) = 0$. The boundary conditions are

$$\begin{cases} v(0,t) = 0 \\ v(1,t) = 1, \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} w(0,t) = 0 \\ w(1,t) = -2, \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \varphi(0,t) = \chi(t) \\ \varphi(1,t) = \varphi_0(1). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Here the $\chi(0) = 0$, $\chi(t) = k(t)\pi$ and $k(t)$ equals to 0 or 1 depending on time.

According to the Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 in Huang-Lin-Liu-Wang [21] and combining the the initial and boundary condition (2.3)-(2.4) with divergence free condition, we conclude for any $(r,z,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,T)$

$$v(r,t) = r, \quad w(z,t) = -2z \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$R(z,t) = -2z^2 + c_1(t), \quad Q(r,t) = -\int_0^r \big(\varphi_{rr} + \frac{\varphi_r}{r} - \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}\big)\varphi_r \, dr - \frac{r^2}{2} + c_2(t), \tag{2.6}$$

for some $c_1, c_2 \in C^\infty([0,T))$. Therefore, there only equation left is the following drift heat flow of axisymmetric harmonic flows in dimension two

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t + r\varphi_r = \varphi_{rr} + \dfrac{\varphi_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}, \ 0 < r < 1, \\ \varphi(r,0) = \varphi_0(r), \ 0 < r < 1, \\ \varphi(0,t) = \chi(t), \quad \varphi(1,t) = \varphi_0(1), \ t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Now, it is easy to see that Theorem 1.1 will be indicated by the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *There exists a $\varphi_0 \in C^\infty[0,1]$ with $\varphi_0^{(2k)}(0) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the drift heat flow of axisymmetric harmonic flows* (2.7) *has more than one weak solution.*

The local existence of unique smooth solution to (2.7) has been proved by [21] with $\chi(t) \equiv 0$. We will extend this local solution in different ways after the first blowup by choosing different $\chi(t)$ and the exact proof of Theorem 2.1 will be given in Section 5.

We also want to mention that if φ solves (2.7), then

$$d(x,y,t) := \Big(\sin\varphi\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2},t\big)\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \sin\varphi\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2},t\big)\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \cos\varphi\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2},t\big)\Big),$$

for $(x,y) \in B_1^2$ and $t \geq 0$, solves

$$d_t + (x,y)\cdot\nabla d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, \ (x,y) \in B_1^2, \ t > 0, \tag{2.8}$$

with the initial condition

$$d\big|_{t=0} = \Big(\sin\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big)\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \sin\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big)\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \cos\varphi_0\big(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}\big)\Big), \tag{2.9}$$

and the boundary condition

$$d\big|_{\partial B_1^2} = \big(\sin\varphi_0(1)x, \sin\varphi_0(1)y, \cos\varphi_0(1)\big). \tag{2.10}$$

By Remark 5.3 in [21], in general the liquid crystal equations (2.1) with initial and boundary conditions (2.3)-(2.4) may not satisfy the energy inequality. But if we only consider the equation (2.8) with initial and boundary conditions (2.9) and (2.10), some special form of energy inequality will be valid.

Lemma 2.2 *For any $0 < T < +\infty$ and any regular solutions to the equation* (2.8) *with initial and boundary conditions* (2.9) *and* (2.10)*, it holds*

$$\int_{B_1^2} |\nabla d|^2(\cdot, T)\, d\mathbf{x} \le e^T \int_{B_1^2} |\nabla d_0|^2\, d\mathbf{x} \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_1^2} |d_t|^2\, d\mathbf{x}dt \le C(T). \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2.3 *By the lemma, it is easy to see*

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 |\varphi_t|^2 r\, drdt + \int_0^1 \left(|\varphi_r|^2 + \frac{\sin^2\varphi}{r^2}\right) r\, dr \le C(T). \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of equation (2.8) by d_t, integrating in $[0,1]$ and using integration by parts and Young's inequality, one should have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{B_1^2} |\nabla d|^2\, d\mathbf{x} + \int_{B_1^2} |d_t|^2\, d\mathbf{x} = -\int_{B_1^2} (x,y)\cdot\nabla d d_t\, d\mathbf{x} \le \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_1^2} |d_t|^2\, d\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}\int_{B_1^2} |\nabla d|^2\, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Thus, the energy estimates are implied by Gronwall's inequality. □

3 Construction of first solution with single blowup

We consider the initial data $\varphi_0(r) \in C^\infty[0,1]$ satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_0^{(2k)}(0) = 0,\ k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \varphi_0\left(\dfrac{1}{2}\right) = \alpha \in (\pi, 2\pi), \quad \varphi_0(1) = \beta \in (0,\pi), \\ \varphi_0 \text{ is increasing in } (0, \dfrac{1}{2}) \text{ and decreasing in } (\dfrac{1}{2}, 1). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

By [21], the local existence of smooth axisymmetric solution to (2.7) has been constructed for smooth initial data φ_0. In order to construct finite time blowup, we need the following useful comparison principle for the weak sub(sup)-solutions inspired by [2]. Denote

$$M_t := (0,1)\times(0,t), \quad \partial M_t = [0,1]\times\{0\} \cup \{0,1\}\times[0,1).$$

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $f, g \in C(M_t) \cap H^1_{loc}(M_t)$ satisfy the following inequalities*

$$\iint_{M_t} (f_t + r f_r)\xi r\, drdt \le -\iint_{M_t} \left(f_r\xi_r + \frac{\sin 2f}{2r^2}\xi\right) r\, drdt, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\iint_{M_t} (g_t + r g_r)\xi r\, drdt \ge -\iint_{M_t} \left(g_r\xi_r + \frac{\sin 2g}{2r^2}\xi\right) r\, drdt, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $0 \le \xi \in C_0^\infty(M_t)$. If $f \le g$ on ∂M_t, then it holds $f \le g$ in $\overline{M_t}$.

The proof of the lemma is the same as in [2], so we omit it here. As stated in Appendix of [2], the same conclusion holds when f, g are allowed to be discontinuous at finitely may points $\{(0, \bar{t}_i)\}$ for $0 < t_i \le t$.

Our main result of this section can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *There exists a function φ_0 satisfying the assumption* (3.1) *such that the system* (2.7) *has a weak solution $\tilde{\varphi}$ which is smooth in $[0,1] \times [0, +\infty)$ with exception of one point $(0, t_1)$ for some $0 < t_1 < +\infty$. It also holds*

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \tilde{\varphi}(r, t_1) = \pi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(0,t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \ 0 \le t < t_1, \\ \pi, & \text{if } \ t > t_1, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \uparrow t_1} E(\tilde{\varphi}(t)) \ge 4 + E(\tilde{\varphi}(t_1)), \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$E(\tilde{\varphi}(t)) = \int_0^1 \left(|\tilde{\varphi}_r|^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \tilde{\varphi}}{r^2} \right) r \, dr.$$

Proof. We divide our proof into several steps.

Step 1. We first construct the local smooth solution with finite time blowup with a help of the subsolution as in [21]. Let

$$\Phi(r,t) = 2 \arctan \left(\frac{r}{\lambda(t) e^t} \right) + 2 \arctan \left(\frac{r^{1+\varepsilon}}{\mu e^t} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\lambda' = -\delta e^{-2t} \lambda^{\varepsilon}, \text{ for } t > 0, \quad \lambda(0) = \lambda_0 > 0.$$

For $0 < 2\lambda_0^{1-\varepsilon} < \delta(1-\varepsilon)$, denote

$$T_\lambda := \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\delta(1-\varepsilon)}{\delta(1-\varepsilon) - 2\lambda_0^{1-\varepsilon}} \right) > 0.$$

be the first time when $\lambda(t) = 0$. Then there exist suitable $\mu > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\delta > 0$ (cf. [21]) such that $\Phi \in C^\infty([0,1] \times [0, T_\lambda))$ satisfies

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \Phi(r,t) = 0, \text{ for } t \in [0, T_\lambda), \quad \lim_{r \to 0} \Phi(r, T_\lambda) = \pi, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\Phi_{rr} + \frac{\Phi_r}{r} - \frac{\sin 2\Phi}{2r^2} - r\Phi_r - \Phi_t \ge 0 \tag{3.8}$$

in $(0,1) \times (0, T_\lambda)$.

Let $\underline{\varphi}_0(r)$ be a function satisfies the assumption (3.1). Then the system (2.7) with initial data $\underline{\varphi}_0$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ has a unique classic solution $\underline{\varphi}(r,t)$ in $[0,1] \times [0, \underline{t}_0)$ for some $\underline{t}_0 > 0$. The fact $\pi < \underline{\varphi}_0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) < 2\pi$ implies that there exist $\underline{t}^* < \underline{t}_0$ and $\gamma > \pi$ such that

$$\underline{\varphi}(\frac{1}{2}, t) \ge \gamma, \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le \underline{t}^*.$$

Choosing suitable δ and λ_0 such that $T_\lambda < \underline{t}^*$ and $\Phi(r,t)$ is a subsolution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} f_t + rf_r = f_{rr} + \dfrac{f_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2f)}{2r^2}, & 0 < r < \dfrac{1}{2},\ t > 0, \\ f(r,0) \geq \Phi(r,0), & 0 < r < \frac{1}{2}, \\ f(0,t) = 0, \qquad f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) = \gamma, & t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Now, we can choose another initial data $\varphi_0(r)$ satisfying (3.1) and

$$\varphi_0(r) \geq \underline{\varphi}_0(r), \quad \text{for } r \in [0,1], \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_0(r) \geq \Phi(r,0), \quad \text{for } r \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

By the comparison principle Lemma 3.1 (see also [21]) and (3.7)-(3.8), there exists a time $0 < t_1 \leq T_\lambda \leq \underline{t}^*$ such that the system (2.7) with initial data φ_0 and $\chi(t) = 0$ has a unique classic solution $\varphi(r,t)$ in $[0,1] \times [0,t_1)$, which blows up at $(r,t) = (0,t_1)$ and

$$\limsup_{(r,t)\to(0,t_1)} \varphi(r,t) > 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Step 2. We now proceed to find the limit of $\varphi(r,t)$ as $(r,t) \to (0,t_1)$. Direct calculation implies

$$\bar{\phi}(r,t) = 2\arctan\left(\frac{r}{\sigma e^t}\right) + \pi,$$

is a solution to the equation

$$\bar{\phi}_t + r\bar{\phi}_r - \bar{\phi}_{rr} - \frac{\bar{\phi}_r}{r} + \frac{\sin 2\bar{\phi}}{2r^2} = 0.$$

Choose the constant σ large enough such that $\varphi_0(r) \leq \bar{\phi}(r,0)$ for any $r \in [0,1]$. Then by the comparison principle Lemma 3.1 (see also [21]), we obtain

$$\varphi(r,t) \leq \bar{\phi}(r,t), \quad \text{for } (r,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,t_1),$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{(r,t)\to(0,t_1)} \varphi(r,t) \leq \lim_{(r,t)\to(0,t_1)} \bar{\phi}(r,t) = \pi.$$

Define

$$r^*(t) = \sup\left\{ r > 0 \;\middle|\; 0 \leq \varphi(r',t) < \pi, \text{ for all } 0 \leq r' \leq r \right\}.$$

If we assume $r^*(t) \geq \delta_0 > 0$ for all $0 < t < t_1$, then there exists a $0 < \delta_0' < \delta_0$ such that

$$\varphi(r,t) \leq \pi - c_0, \quad \text{in } [0,\delta_0'] \times [0,t_1)$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$. By [21], we can construct a smooth supersolution $\tilde{\phi}(r,t)$ to the system with $\tilde{\phi}(0,t) = 0$ for any $0 \leq t \leq t_1$, which is contradict to (3.10) and implies

$$\liminf_{t\to t_1} r^*(t) = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\limsup_{(r,t)\to(0,t_1)} \varphi(r,t) = \pi. \tag{3.12}$$

By the definition of r^* and (3.11), in order to argue

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \varphi(r,t_1) = \pi, \tag{3.13}$$

we only need to show that

$$h(r,t) \geq \pi, \quad \text{for any } r \in [r^*, \frac{1}{2}],\ t \in (0,t_1).$$

Indeed, denote $\omega(r,t) = h(r,t) - \pi$. It is easy to see that ω is continuous in $\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right] \times [0,t_1)$ and is a classic solution of

$$\omega_t + r\omega_r = \omega_{rr} + \frac{\omega_r}{r} - \frac{\sin 2\omega}{2r^2}, \quad \text{in } \left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right) \times (0,t_1).$$

The initial and boundary data satisfy

$$\omega_0(r) < 0, \quad \text{if } r \in [0,r^*), \quad \omega_0(r) > 0, \quad \text{if } r \in (r^*, \frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$\omega(0,t) < 0 < \omega\left(\frac{1}{2},t\right), \quad \text{for } t \in [0,t_1).$$

By the maximum principle and the Jordan curve theorem as in [38] (Lemma 2.5 and 2.6), we conclude that $\omega(r)$ will keep positive in $[r^*, \frac{1}{2}]$, which completes the proof of (3.13).

Step 3. To show the energy drop inequality (3.5), we first denote

$$E_{r_1,r_2}(f) := \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left((f')^2 + \frac{\sin^2 f}{r^2}\right) r dr,$$

and

$$W_r := \left\{ f \in H^1_r(0,r) \;\middle|\; E_{0,r}(f) < \infty,\ f(0) = 0,\ f(r) = h(r,t) \right\},$$

where X_r denotes Sobolev spaces X with weight r. By the result in [18], we obtain if $|\varphi(r_2,t)| < \pi$,

$$E_{0,r_2}(\varphi(t)) \geq \min_{f\in W_{r_2}} E_{0,r_2}(f) \geq 2(1-\cos\varphi(r_2,t)).$$

Thus if we choose $\tilde{r} \in (0,1)$ small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t\uparrow t_1} E(\varphi(t)) \\
=&\lim_{t\uparrow t_1} \left(E_{0,\tilde{r}}(\varphi(t)) + E_{\tilde{r},1}(\varphi(t))\right) \\
\geq&\lim_{t\uparrow t_1} \left(2(1-\cos\varphi(\tilde{r},t)) + E_{\tilde{r},1}(\varphi(t))\right) \\
=&2(1-\cos\varphi(\tilde{r},t_1)) + E_{\tilde{r},1}(\varphi(t_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.13), we conclude that

$$\lim_{t\uparrow t_1} E(\varphi(t)) \geq E(\varphi(t_1)) + 4,$$

which is exact the energy drop inequality (3.5).

Step 4. To extend the solution $\varphi(r,t)$ beyond t_1, we consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t + r\varphi_r = \varphi_{rr} + \dfrac{\varphi_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2r^2}, \quad 0 < r < 1,\ t > 0, \\ \varphi(r,0) = \varphi(r,t_1) - \pi, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1, \\ \varphi(0,t) = 0, \qquad \varphi(1,t) = \beta - \pi, \quad t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi(r,t_1) - \pi \in C^0[0,1]$ and $|\varphi(r,t_1) - \pi| \leq \pi - c_1$ with some constant $c_1 > 0$. Thus by the global existence result in [21], the system (3.14) has a classic solution $\varphi_1(r,t)$ for $(r,t) \in (0,1) \times (t_1, +\infty)$. We can complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 by setting

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t) := \begin{cases} \varphi(r,t), & \text{if } t \leq t_1, \\ \varphi_1(r, t-t_1) + \pi, & \text{if } t > t_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

□

4 A crucial time to construct other solutions

By the argument in last section, we have constructed a global solution to the system (1.1), which is regular except one point $(0,t_1)$. In this section, we would provide another time t_2 which is crucial in finding other solutions. To this end, we first construct a supersolution inspired by [2] and [41]. Define $\bar{\lambda}(t) > 0$ satisfies the following equation

$$\bar{\lambda}'(t) = \delta e^{-2t} \bar{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}, \quad \bar{\lambda}(0) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

for positive constants δ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. It is easy to see

$$\bar{\lambda}^{1-\varepsilon}(t) = \frac{\delta(1-\varepsilon)}{2}\left(1 - e^{-2t}\right) \geq 0.$$

Denote

$$\tau(f) := f_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} f_r - \frac{\sin f \cos f}{r^2},$$

and

$$\phi(r, \bar{\lambda}, t) = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{r}{e^t \bar{\lambda}}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

which is a solution of $\tau(\phi) = 0$ for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$ with $\phi(r,0,0) = \pi$ and $\phi(0, \bar{\lambda}, t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\mu > 0$, let $a = 1 + \varepsilon$ and

$$\theta(r, \mu, t) = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{r^a}{e^{at}\mu}\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Then $\theta(r,\mu,t)$ satisfies

$$\theta_{rr} + \frac{1}{r}\theta_r - \frac{a^2 \sin\theta \cos\theta}{r^2} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1 *There exist positive constants μ_0 and δ_0, such that for any $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, the function defined by*

$$\psi(r,t) = \phi(r, \bar{\lambda}(t), t) - \theta(r, \mu, t) \tag{4.5}$$

satisfies

(i) $\psi(r,t) \in C^1((0,1) \times [0,+\infty)) \cap C^\infty((0,1] \times (0,+\infty)) \cap C^1([0,1] \times (0,+\infty))$.

(ii) $\lim\limits_{r\to 0} \psi(r,0) = \pi, \quad \lim\limits_{r\to 0} \psi(r,t) = 0, \ t > 0.$

(iii) ψ *is a supersolution of* (2.7)*, i.e.,*

$$\psi_{rr} + \frac{\psi_r}{r} - \frac{\sin(2\psi)}{2r^2} - r\psi_r - \psi_t \leq 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. It is not so hard to verify the property (i) and (ii) by the definition of ψ. To prove (iii), choosing μ large enough so that $\theta(r,\mu,t)$ is small enough and

$$\cos\theta(r,\mu,t) \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \tag{4.7}$$

for any $r \in [0,1]$ and $t \in [0,+\infty)$. Direct caculation and using (4.4) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\psi) =& \frac{1}{r^2}\big[\sin\phi\cos\phi - \sin(\phi+\theta)\cos(\phi+\theta) - a^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\big] \\
=& \frac{1}{r^2}\big[\cos(2\phi-\theta)\sin\theta - a^2\sin\theta\cos\theta\big] \\
\leq& \frac{1}{r^2}\big[\cos(2\phi+\theta)\sin\theta - (1+\varepsilon)\sin\theta\big] \\
\leq& -\frac{\varepsilon\sin\theta}{r^2} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{r^2}\frac{2\mu e^{-at} r^a}{\mu^2 + e^{-2at} r^{2a}} \\
\leq& -\frac{2\mu\varepsilon e^{-at}}{\mu^2+1} r^{\varepsilon-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where we have also used the fact $\sin\theta \geq 0$ in first inequality and (4.3) in second inequality. From definitions (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\psi_t = -\frac{2re^t(\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda}_t)}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2} + \frac{2a\mu r^a e^{at}}{\mu^2 e^{2at} + r^{2a}} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\psi_r = \frac{2\bar{\lambda}e^t}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2} - \frac{2a\mu r^{a-1} e^{at}}{\mu^2 e^{2at} + r^{2a}} \tag{4.10}$$

Combining (4.9) with (4.10) and using (4.1), we obtain

$$\psi_t + r\psi_r = -\frac{2re^t\bar{\lambda}_t}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2} = -\frac{2\delta r e^{-t}\bar{\lambda}^\varepsilon}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2}. \tag{4.11}$$

To conclude (4.6), it suffices to verify

$$\frac{2\delta r e^{-t}\bar{\lambda}^\varepsilon}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2} \leq \frac{2\gamma\mu e^{-at}\varepsilon}{\mu^2+1} r^{\varepsilon-1}. \tag{4.12}$$

Let $s = \frac{r}{e^t\bar{\lambda}}$. Then (4.12) is equivalent to

$$\frac{s^{2-\varepsilon}}{1+s^2} \le \frac{\gamma\mu\varepsilon}{\delta(\mu^2+1)},\ \forall s > 0. \tag{4.13}$$

It is easy to check that the function $\dfrac{s^{2-\varepsilon}}{1+s^2}$ has a maximum $M(\varepsilon)$ depending only on ε. Therefore, if we choose

$$\delta \le \frac{\gamma\mu\varepsilon}{M(\varepsilon)(\mu^2+1)},$$

the inequality (4.12) holds, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Denote

$$\overline{\psi} := \psi + \pi$$

where ψ is defined by (4.5) in Lemma 4.1 with fixed δ and large enough μ such that

$$\pi - \arccos\left(\frac{\mu^2-1}{\mu^2+1}\right) \ge \beta,$$

where $\beta \in (0,\pi)$ is given in (3.1). Now, we are ready for our main result on the crucial time t_2.

Theorem 4.2 *Let φ_0, $\tilde{\varphi}$ and t_1 be given as in Theorem 3.2. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_1 < t_2 < +\infty$ such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t) \le \pi - \varepsilon r, \quad \textit{for } 0 \le r \le 1, \quad t \ge t_2. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\overline{\psi}$ satisfies (4.6), and

$$\overline{\psi}(r,0) \ge \tilde{\varphi}(r,t_1), \quad \text{for any } r \in [0,1].$$

Combining the fact $\overline{\psi}(0,t) \ge \pi$ for all t with the comparison principle, we conclude

$$\overline{\psi}(r,t-t_1) \ge \tilde{\varphi}(r,t), \quad \text{for } t \ge t_1.$$

Direct calculation indicates for any $r \in [0,1]$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\overline{\psi}(r,t) = \frac{2\bar{\lambda}e^t}{e^{2t}\bar{\lambda}^2 + r^2} - \frac{2a\mu r^{a-1}e^{at}}{\mu^2 e^{2at} + r^{2a}} = \frac{2\bar{\lambda}e^{-t}}{\bar{\lambda}^2 + e^{-2t}r^2} - \frac{2a\mu r^{a-1}e^{-at}}{\mu^2 + e^{-2at}r^{2a}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to +\infty.$$

Therefore, for any $\sigma > 0$, there exists a time $t_\sigma > t_1$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t_\sigma) \le \pi + \sigma r$$

for any $r \in [0,1]$.

In order to pick t_2, denote

$$g(r,t) = \pi + l(\gamma - t)re^{-t} - lr^2e^{-2t},$$

for $r \in [0,1]$, $t > 0$, some constants $l > 0$ and $1 < \gamma < \ln 3$. Direct calculation implies that $g(r,t)$ satisfies (4.6) for $r \in [0,1]$ and $0 < t < \ln 3$, while

$$g(1,0) > \pi, \quad g(1,t) > \beta, \quad \text{for } 0 < t < \ln 3, \quad g_r(0,t) = l(\gamma - t) < 0 \ \text{ for } \gamma < t < \ln 3, \tag{4.15}$$

with small enough $l > 0$. By the choice of t_σ, for small enough $\sigma > 0$, there is $t_\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t) \le g(r,0), \quad \text{for } r \in [0,1].$$

By the estimates (4.15) and the comparison principle, it holds

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t) \le g(r,t-t_\sigma), \quad \text{for } t \in [t_\sigma, t_\sigma + \ln 3].$$

In particular, setting $\varepsilon = l$ and $t_2 = t_\sigma + \dfrac{\gamma + \ln 3}{2}$, we conclude

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,t_2) \le \pi - \varepsilon r, \quad \text{for any } r \in [0,1].$$

We can conclude (4.14) combining the fact that the function $g_1(r) = \pi - \varepsilon r$ is also a supersolution, which completes the proof of theorem. □

5 Proof of main theorems

This section is devoted to the construction of the second energy jump at some time beyond t_2 and the existence of another solution to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, and hence Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1 *Let φ_0, $\tilde{\varphi}$ and t_1 be given as in Theorem 3.2, and t_2 as in Theorem 4.2. For any $\tau \ge t_2$, there exist another solution $\varphi^\tau(r,t)$ to the system (2.7) in $(0,1)\times(0,+\infty)$, which is smooth except the points $(0,t_1)$ and $(0,\tau)$, and also satisfies*

$$\varphi^\tau(r,t) = \tilde{\varphi}(r,t), \quad \textit{for any } (r,t) \in [0,1]\times[0,\tau],$$

$$\varphi^\tau(0,t) = \chi(t) = 0, \quad \textit{for } t > \tau.$$

Furthermore, the energy $E(\varphi^\tau(t))$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{t\downarrow\tau} E(\varphi^\tau(t)) = 4 + E(\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)). \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. First consider the following initial and boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^*_t + r\varphi^*_r = \varphi^*_{rr} + \dfrac{\varphi^*_r}{r} - \dfrac{\sin(2\varphi^*)}{2r^2}, \ 0 < r < 1, \ t > 0 \\ \varphi^*(r,0) = \tilde{\varphi}(r,\tau), \ 0 \le r \le 1, \\ \varphi^*(0,t) = 0, \quad \varphi^*(1,t) = \beta, \ t > 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

and seek for a solution $\varphi^* \in C^\infty\big((0,1)\times[0,+\infty)\big) \cap C\big([0,1]\times(0,+\infty)\big)$. Notice that there is a singularity at $(0,0)$ since $\varphi^*(0,0) = \pi$ and $\varphi^*(0,t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. Thus, we need first construct an approximate sequence of initial data $\varphi^n_0(r)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., for any $r_n \in (0,1) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, define a family of functions

$$\varphi^n_0(r) := \begin{cases} 2\arctan\left(\dfrac{r}{r_n}\tan\left(\dfrac{\tilde{\varphi}(r_n,\tau)}{2}\right)\right), & \text{if } 0 \le r \le r_n, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(r,\tau), & \text{if } r_n < r \le 1. \end{cases}$$

By the estimate (4.14), $\tilde{\varphi}(r_n,\tau) < \pi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(r_n,\tau) \uparrow \pi$ as $t_n \to 0$, so the functions $\varphi_0^n(r)$ are well-defined for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and smooth in $[0,1]$ except the point r_n. It is direct to check that $\varphi_0^n(0) = 0$ and $\varphi_0^n(r) \le \tilde{\varphi}(r,\tau)$ in $[0,1]$. By the results in [18], it holds

$$E_{0,r_n} = 2(1 - \cos(\tilde{\varphi}(r_n,\tau))).$$

Similar to the proof of (3.5), we conclude

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} E(\varphi_0^n) = 4 + E(\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)). \tag{5.3}$$

By the standard diagonal procedure of approximation of a function in H^1 by C^∞ function, we may assume $\varphi_0^n \in C^\infty[0,1]$, which still satisfies all the properties above and in addition, $\varphi_0^n \to \tilde{\varphi}(\tau)$ uniformly in C^k in any compact subsets of $(0,1]$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\psi(r,t)$ be the function defined as (4.5). By the Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.1, choose suitable $\mu > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(r,\tau) \le \psi(r,0), \quad \text{for } r \in [0,1], \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\psi(1,t) \ge \beta, \quad \text{for } t \in [0,t'],$$

with some small $t' > 0$. By the local existence result in [21], the system (5.2) with initial data φ_0^n has a unique smooth solution φ^n in $[0,1] \times [0,t'')$, where $t'' \ge t'$ without loss of generalization. Combining the fact $0 \le \varphi_0^n(r) \le \tilde{\varphi}(r,\tau)$ with the comparison principle Lemma 3.1, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds

$$0 \le \varphi^n(r,t) \le \psi(r,t), \quad \text{for any } (r,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,t']. \tag{5.5}$$

Choose small enough μ^* such that

$$\psi^* := 2\arctan\left(\frac{r}{\mu^*}\right) \ge \psi(r,t'), \quad \text{for } r \in [0,1].$$

Direct calculation implies

$$\psi^*_{rr} + \frac{\psi^*_r}{r} - \frac{\sin(2\psi^*)}{2r^2} - r\psi^*_r - \psi^*_t \le 0.$$

By all these properties and comparison principle, we have

$$0 \le \varphi^n(r,t) \le \overline{\psi}(r,t) := \begin{cases} \psi(r,t), & \text{if } 0 \le t \le t', \\ \psi^*(r,t) & \text{if } t' < t \le t''. \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

By similar argument as in [21] Section 4, the solution φ^n can be extended beyond t'' smoothly, which implies $t'' = +\infty$.

Now, we proceed to take the limit as $n \to +\infty$. For any $0 < T < +\infty$ denote

$$W_T := \left\{ f \middle|\ f \in L^\infty((0,T); H^1_r(0,1)) \cap L^\infty([0,1] \times [0,T)),\ f_t \in L^2((0,T); L^2_r(0,1)) \right\}$$

By the energy estimates in Remark 2.3, φ^n is uniform bounded in W_T. There exists a function $\varphi^* \in W$ such that

$$\varphi^*(r,t) \le \overline{\psi}(r,t), \quad \text{for any } (r,t) \in [0,1] \times [0,T],$$

and choosing subsequences if necessary, as $n \to +\infty$,

$$\varphi^n \to \varphi^*, \quad \text{weakly in } W_T,$$

$$\varphi^n \to \varphi^*, \quad \text{strongly in } L^2([0,1]\times[0,T]).$$

And $\varphi^n \to \varphi^*$ uniformly on any compact subsets of $(0,1]\times[0,T]$ or $[0,1]\times(0,T]$. It is not hard to see $\varphi^* \in C^\infty\big((0,1)\times[0,T]\big)\cap C\big([0,1]\times(0,T]\big)$ is a solution to (5.2). By the energy estimate in Remark 2.3, (5.3) and the lower-semicontinuity of energy functional $E(\cdot)$, we conclude $E(\varphi^*(t))$ is bounded for any $t>0$.

Now, we are ready to prove the energy jump (5.1). This can be done similarly as (3.5). For a small enough $r'\in(0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t\downarrow 0} E(\varphi^*(t))\\
=&\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\big(E_{0,r'}(\varphi^*(t))+E_{r',1}(\varphi^*(t))\big)\\
\geq&\lim_{t\downarrow 0}\big(2(1-\cos\varphi^*(r',t))+E_{r',1}(\varphi^*(t))\big)\\
=&2(1-\cos\tilde{\varphi}(r',\tau))+E_{r',1}(\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $r'\to 0$ implies (5.1). Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi}(r,t)$ can be defined as follows

$$\varphi^\tau(r,t):=\begin{cases}\tilde{\varphi}(r,t), & \text{if } t\leq\tau,\\ \varphi^*(r,t-\tau)+\pi, & \text{if } t>\tau,\end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

References

[1] F. Bethuel, J. Coron, J. Ghidaglia, A. Soyeur, *Heat flows and relaxed energies for harmonic maps*. Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states, 3 (Gregynog, 1989), 99-109, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 7, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1992.

[2] M. Bertsch, R. Dal Passo, and R. van der Hout, *Nonuniqueness for the heat flow of harmonic maps on the disk*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **161** (2002), 93-112.

[3] K. Chang, *Heat flow and boundary value problem for harmonic maps*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **6** (1989), no. 5, 363-395.

[4] Y. M. Chen, F. H. Lin, *Evolution of harmonic maps with Dirichlet boundary conditions*. Comm. Anal. Geom., **1** (1993), no. 3-4, 327-346.

[5] Y. M. Chen, M. Struwe, *Existence and partial regularity results for the heat flow for harmonic maps*. Math. Z., **201** (1989), no. 1, 83-103.

[6] Y. Chen, S. Kim, Y. Yu, *Twisted solutions to a simplified Ericksen-Leslie equation.* arXiv:1610.01250, 2016

[7] J. Coron, *Nonuniqueness for the heat flow of harmonic maps.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **7** (1990), no. 4, 335-344.

[8] H. J. Dong, Z. Lei, *On a family of exact solutions to the incompressible liquid crystals in two dimensions.* arXiv:1205.3697, 2012.

[9] J. L. Ericksen, *Hydrostatic theory of liquid crystal.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **9** (1962), 371-378.

[10] A. Freire, *Uniqueness for the harmonic map flow from surfaces to general targets.* Comm. Math. Helv., **70** (1995), no 1, 310-338.

[11] H. J. Gong, J. K. Li, C. Xu, *Local well-posedness of strong solutions to density-dependent liquid crystal system*, Nonlinear Anal., **147** (2016), 26–44.

[12] H. J. Gong, J. K. Li, C. Xu, *Local well-posedness to inhomogeneous Ericksen-Leslie system with general Leslie stress tensor*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., (to appear) DOI: 10.1007/s00033-016-0759-3

[13] M. Hieber, M. Nesensohn, J. Prüss, K. Schade, *Dynamics of nematic liquid crystal flows: the quasilinear approach*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **33** (2016), 397–408.

[14] M. Hieber, J. Prüss, *Dynamics of the Ericksen-Leslie equations with general Leslie stress I: the incompressible isotropic case*, Math. Ann., DOI 10.1007/s00208-016-1453-7

[15] M. C. Hong, *Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **40** (2011), no. 1-2, 15-36.

[16] M. C. Hong, J. K. Li, Z. P. Xin, *Blow-up criteria of strong solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **39** (2014), 1284–1328.

[17] M. C. Hong, Z. P. Xin, *Global existence of solutions of the liquid crystal flow for the Oseen-Frank model in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 3-4, 1364-1400.

[18] R. van der Hout, *Flow alignment in nematic liquid crystals in flows with cylindrical symmetry.* Diff. Int. Eqns., **14** (2001), 189-211.

[19] R. van der Hout, E. Vilucchi, *Singularities and nonuniqueness in cylindrical flow of nematic liquid crystals.* Adv. in Diff. Eqns., **6** (2001), 799-820.

[20] T. Huang, *Regularity and uniqueness for a class of solutions to the hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals.* Analysis and Applications, **14** (2016), no. 4, 523-536.

[21] T. Huang, F. H. Lin, C. Liu, C. Y. Wang, *Finite time singularity of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimension three*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **221** (2016), no. 3, 1223-1254.

[22] T. Huang and C. Y. Wang, *On uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear 2016.

[23] F. M. Leslie, *Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **28** (1968), 265-283.

[24] Z. Lei, D. Li, X. Y. Zhang, *Remarks of global wellposedness of liquid crystal flows and heat flows of harmonic maps in two dimensions.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), no. 11, 3801-3810.

[25] J. K. Li, *Global strong and weak solutions to inhomogeneous nematic liquid crystal flow in two dimensions*, Nonlinear Anal., **99** (2014), 80–94.

[26] J. K. Li, *Global strong solutions to the inhomogeneous incompressible nematic liquid crystal flow*, Methods Appl. Anal., **22** (2015), 201–220.

[27] J. K. Li, E. S. Titi, Z. P. Xin, *On the uniqueness of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie liquid crystal model in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., **26** (2016), 803–822.

[28] J. K. Li, Z. P. Xin, *Global existence of weak solutions to the non-isothermal nematic liquid crystals in 2D*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **36** (2016), 973–1014.

[29] F. H. Lin, *Nonlinear theory of defects in nematic liquid crystal: phase transition and flow phenomena*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **42** (1989), 789-814.

[30] F. H. Lin, J. Y. Lin, C. Y. Wang, *Liquid crystal flows in two dimensions*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **197** (2010) 297-336.

[31] F. H. Lin, C. Liu, *Nonparabolic Dissipative Systems Modeling the Flow of Liquid Crystals*. Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., Vol. XLVIII, (1995), 501-537.

[32] F. H. Lin, C. Y. Wang, *Global existence of weak solutions of the nematic liquid crystal flow in dimensions three*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **69** (2016) no. 8, 1532-1571.

[33] F. H. Lin, C. Y. Wang, *On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals*. Chinese Ann. Math., **31B** (2010) no. 6, 921-938.

[34] F. H. Lin, C. Y. Wang, *Recent developments of analysis for hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals*. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **372** (2014), no. 2029, 20130361, 18 pp.

[35] F. H. Lin, C. Y. Wang, The Analysis of Harmonic Maps and Their Heat Flows. The World Scientific, 2008.

[36] L. Z. Lin, *Uniformity of harmonic map heat flow at infinite time*. Anal. PDE **6** (2013), no. 8, 1899-1921.

[37] W. Y. Ma, H. J. Gong, J. K. Li, *Global strong solutions to incompressible Ericksen-Leslie system in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Nonlinear Anal., **109** (2014), 230–235.

[38] H. Matano, *Nonincrease of the lap-number of a solution for a one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equation*. J. Fac. pf Science, Univ. of Tokyo, Sec. IA, **29** (1982), 401-441.

[39] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic mappings of Riemannian surfaces.* Comm. Math. Helv., **60** (1985), 558-581.

[40] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic maps in higher dimensions*, J. Diff. Geom., **28** (1988), no. 3, 485-502.

[41] P. Topping, *Reverse bubbling and nonuniqueness in the harmonic map flow*, Int Math Res Notices **2002** (10): 505-520, 2002.

[42] L. Wang, *Harmonic map heat flow with rough boundary data*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **364** (2012), 5265-5283.

[43] M. Wang, W. D. Wang, *Global existence of weak solution for the 2-D Ericksen-Leslie system*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **51** (2014), 915–962.

[44] M. Wang, W. D. Wang, Z. F. Zhang, *On the uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D Ericksen-Leslie system*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, **21** (2016), 919–941.

[45] X. Xu, Z. F. Zhang, *Global regularity and uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D liquid crystal flows*, J. Diff. Eqns., **256** (2012), 1169-1181.